

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/887,208	06/22/2001		Brendon Conlan	8401	
7:	590	10/07/2004		EXAMINER	
James D. Jacobs			PHASGE, ARUN S		
Baker and McK	Cenzie				
805 Third Aver	ıue		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY	10022			1753	
				DATE MAILED: 10/07/200/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1-
	09/887,208	CONLAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Árun S. Phasge	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo ute, cause the application to become.	reply be tirnely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  BBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	S
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 43-114 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>43-114</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	/a.a.a.la.atia.a.a.ai.a.a.a.a.t		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	-···	, ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	- ,	d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	=xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>	· · · · ·	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2)	<del></del> 1	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/887,208

Art Unit: 1753

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 43-44, 46-55, 57, 58, 60-63, 65, 66, 68-71, 74-86, 88-91, 93-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Egen et al. (Egen), U.S. Patent 5,336,387.

Egen discloses the claimed method and apparatus for the selective removal of at least one biological contaminant, including the claimed contaminants, from a biological compound, including the claimed compounds (see column 3, lines 52-55) comprising directing a first fluid stream having a selected pH to flow along a first selective membrane, directing a second fluid along the first membrane isolated from the first fluid stream thereby, applying at least one voltage potential across each of the fluid streams until the desired purity is obtained to recover the purified compound (see figure 1 and column 5, lines 10-55). The reference further

Art Unit: 1753

discloses the third and fourth fluid streams (see figures 6a-6e and columns 14-17 for the discussion of the figures with separation and fractionation of compounds).

Therefore, since the Egen patent discloses each and every limitation, the claims are anticipated.

Claims 43-44, 46-58, 60-66, 68-72, 74-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Laustsen, U.S. Patent 5,437,774.

Laustsen discloses the claimed method and apparatus for the selective removal of at least one biological contaminant, including the claimed contaminants, from a biological compound, including the claimed compounds (see column 6, lines 35-52) comprising directing a first fluid stream having a selected pH to flow along a first selective membrane, directing a second fluid along the first membrane isolated from the first fluid stream thereby, applying at least one voltage potential across each of the fluid streams until the desired purity is obtained to recover the purified compound (see figures 1-9 and column 5, lines 10-55). The reference further discloses the third and fourth fluid streams (see figures 1-5 and columns 8-9). The reference further discloses the claimed range of the membrane's molecular weight cutoff (see column 7, lines 15-30).

Application/Control Number: 09/887,208

Art Unit: 1753

Therefore, since the Laustsen discloses each and every limitation, the claims are anticipated.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 45, 59, 67, 73 and 97-114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laustsen as applied to claims above, and further in view of Margolis, U.S. Patent 5,650,055.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,208

Art Unit: 1753

The Laustsen patent while discloses that the direction of the electric field can be adjusted based upon a variety of reasons, fails to disclose the reversal of polarity (see col. 6, lines 5-23). The Margolis patent is cited to show the use of the reversal of polarity in the electrophoretic separation of macromolecules, such as proteins to allow the desired proportion of the at least one species of macromolecules (see abstract).

Consequently, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of the Laustsen patent with the teachings contained in the Margolis patent, because the Margolis patent teaches that the periodic reversal of polarity allows the desired purity of the compounds.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun 5. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax

Art Unit: 1753

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arun S. Phasge

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1753